## **Extended Lactations: Consequences for Cow, Calf, and Farmer**

Ariëtte van Knegsel<sup>1</sup>, Eline Burgers<sup>1,2</sup>, Roselinde Goselink<sup>2</sup>, Bas Kemp<sup>1</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Wageningen University, the Netherlands
- <sup>2</sup> Wageningen Livestock Research, the Netherlands





### This presentation

- Why reconsidering the lactation length?
  - Motivation of farmers
- Consequences of extended lactation length
  - Milk, health, fertility, calves and economics
- Customizing lactation length



Advice for a one-year calving interval

...results in a maximal milk yield during the lactation.

# At this moment farmers aim mostly for a **short calving interval.**

But existing studies are:

- Limited
- Retrospective!
- Not consistent in their results
- Focus on milk production (not animal health)
- Executed in semi-extensive systems (Australia, NZ, Ireland)





### Calving interval at commercial dairy farms







## Network of dairy farmers

- Pioneer- farmers' (N=13): exchange knowledge and data
- Each apply an extended voluntary waiting period (VWP) for insemination to extend the lactation length





(Van Dooren., 2019; Burgers et al., 2021)

## Motivation of dairy farmers

#### **Motivation of farmers** to extend the voluntary waiting period:

#### $\rightarrow$ Less **calving moments** per time unit

- improved health
- less labour
- → Less calves
  - image of the veal sector
  - low value

less labour for calving and calf care

#### $\rightarrow$ **Insemination at a later moment** in the lactation

 $\rightarrow$  better fertility



## Why is application of an extended VWP limited?



#### **Questions**:

- → possible milk yield losses
   [especially in late lactation persistency]
- $\rightarrow$  how to avoid fattening?

→ which cows to select for an extended VWP?

→ (short) calving interval used as an indicator for 'good farm management'





## Consequences of an extended lactation length



### Experimental set-up at Dairy Campus research farm

#### 154 cows, 6 weeks after calving assigned to VWP (voluntary waiting period)

| Group    | Insemination start | Mean CI | Min | Max |
|----------|--------------------|---------|-----|-----|
| VWP50    | From 50 DIM        | 384     | 324 | 565 |
| VWP125   | From 125 DIM       | 452     | 400 | 586 |
| ■ VWP200 | From 200 DIM       | 501     | 469 | 575 |

#### <u>Measures:</u>

- Milk yield and body weight
- Body condition score
- Blood sampling and dry matter intake



(Burgers et al., 2021)

## Milk yield per day calving interval

- Primiparous cows: milk per day CInt not affected
- Multiparous cows: VWP200: less milk per day of CInt





### Milk yield consequences: what do others report?



H = Holstein (Stangaferro et al. 2018); HF = HF cows (Burgers et al. 2021); SRB = Swedish R&W (Rehn et al., 2000); SLB = Swedish Holstein (Rehn et al., 2000); IH = Israeli Holstein (Arbel et al. 2001); H+SRB = (Holstein and Swedish R&W (Edvardsson Rasmussen et al., 2023); SRW = Swedish R&W (Osterman and Bertilsson, 2003); H\_Multi = Holstein (Van Amburgh et al., 1997)

## Lactation persistency



Primiparous cows more persistent than older cows

■ Overall: longer VWP → greater persistency

HF\_Primi, HF\_Multi = Holstein Friesian cows (Burgers et al., 2021); HF\_All = Holstein Friesian cows (Niozas et al., 2019); H\_All = Holstein cows (Schneider et al., 1981)

## Milk yield before dry off reduced ....

... from **19.1** kg/d to **16.9** kg/d (with **34%** vs **54%** of the cows dried off below 15 kg/d) when the VWP is extended from **40 to 180 days** (Niozas et al., 2019).



Figure 2. Udder pressure (mean  $\pm$  SE; kg) after dry-off considering low- (n = 25; <15 kg/d; dotted line), medium- (n = 27; 15–20 kg/d; dashed line), and high- (n = 24; >20 kg/d; solid line) yielding cows.

- High milk at dry off: ↑ udder pressure ↑ stress (fecal glucocorticoids) leakage
- Tissue damage, pain
- Lower yield at dry-off:
   ↓ pressure; ↓ stress;
   ↓ leakage

Bertulat et al. 2013

## Body condition before dry-off



- Primiparous cows: no effect of VWP on BCS
- Multiparous cows:  $\uparrow$  VWP =  $\uparrow$  BCS

## Expectations for health

#### Potential health benefits

- Less (critical) calving moments per time unit
- Less drying-off moments and lower milk yield at dry off
- $\rightarrow$  less risk for diseases associated with these transition moments

#### Potential health drawbacks

More time in late lactation:

- with higher somatic cell count → consequences for udder health?
- with increased risk for fattening → consequences for next lactation?



### More negative EB in subsequent lactation



For quality of life

(Burgers et al., 2023)

## Consequences for udder health

No effect on **mastitis** or **somatic cell count**, with an increase in voluntary waiting period from 40 DIM to 120 or 180 DIM.



## Expectations for fertility

#### Fertility

- More time to recover from calving
- When the cow is in a better energy balance results in better reproductive performance



### Estrous

 $\rightarrow$  Proportion of cows seen in **estrous** increased with an increase in voluntary waiting period from 40 to 120 or 180 DIM



### Reduced days after VWP till pregnancy

| VWP                                   | 50 dgn | 125 dgn            | 200 dgn            |
|---------------------------------------|--------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Conception rate after first insem.(%) | 43.8   | 42.0               | 63.3               |
| Days open (d)                         | 85.5ª  | 162.3 <sup>b</sup> | 219.4 <sup>c</sup> |
| Days open after end VWP (d)           | 35.3ª  | 37.3 <sup>a</sup>  | 19.4 <sup>b</sup>  |

[**VWP** = Voluntary waiting period from calving till insemination]

 $\rightarrow$  Extending VWP resulted in **less milk**, **better body condition** and **more regular ovarian cycles** around end of the VWP



### Reproduction consequences: what do others report?



Orange = primiparous cows; Green = multiparous cows; Bleu: both primi and multiparous cows

(Arbel et al., 2001; Edvardsson Rasmussen et al., 2023; Ma et al., 2022; Niozas et al., 2019; Schindler et al., 1991; Schneider et al., 1981; Stangaferro et al., 2018)

### Consequences for calves?



 $\rightarrow$  Results in conception at a **different metabolic status** 





## Calf development



Body weight of female calves born to cows with a voluntary waiting period of 50, 15 or 200 days.

- No effect on growth or body weight during the weaning and rearing phase (till their first calving);
- Greater plasma antibody level for calves from dams with a short calving interval

### Calves during 100 DIM of their first lactation

| Calving Interval Dam                           | <b>Cint_1</b><br>(324-408 d) | <b>Cint_2</b><br>(409-468 d) | <b>Cint_3</b><br>(469-568 d) |
|--|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Inseminations per pregnancy                    | 1.4                          | 1.4                          | 1.4                          |
| Age at first calving (months)                  | 24.9                         | 24.8                         | 25.3                         |
| Body weight (kg)                               | 544 <sup>b</sup>             | 536 <sup>b</sup>             | 564ª                         |
| Milk yield (kg/d)                              | 25.0                         | 23.3                         | 24.6                         |
| Fat-and-protein corrected milk<br>yield (kg/d) | 30.0ª                        | 28.5 <sup>b</sup>            | 29.3 <sup>b</sup>            |

 $\rightarrow$  Calving interval of the dam was related with body weight and FPCM yield of the calves during the 100DIM of their first lactation



(Wang et al., 2024)

## Net partial cash flow - calculations



- Price per variable from several Dutch dairy institutes
- Expressed per cow per year ( / days in study x 365)

## Revenues and costs per cow per year

|       |                    | VWP50                   | VWP125                     | VWP200                    |
|-------|--------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| N cov | NS                 | 47                      | 39                         | 35                        |
| Reve  | enues              | <b>3,141</b> ª          | <b>3,009</b> <sup>ab</sup> | <b>2,848</b> <sup>b</sup> |
|       | Milk               | 3,131ª                  | 3,000 <sup>ab</sup>        | 2,840 <sup>b</sup>        |
|       | Calves             | 11 <sup>a</sup>         | <b>9</b> <sup>b</sup>      | 8 <sup>c</sup>            |
|       |                    |                         |                            |                           |
| Cost  | S                  | <b>1,837</b> ª          | 1,751 <sup>ab</sup>        | <b>1,632</b> <sup>b</sup> |
|       | Basal ration       | 1,126                   | 1,111                      | 1,097                     |
| Æ     | Concentrate        | <b>476</b> <sup>a</sup> | 434 <sup>ab</sup>          | 393 <sup>b</sup>          |
| ပြ    | Disease treatments | 177                     | 151                        | 107                       |
|       | Inseminations      | <b>59</b> <sup>a</sup>  | 54 <sup>a</sup>            | 35 <sup>b</sup>           |
|       |                    |                         |                            |                           |
| Net   | partial cashflow   | 1,304                   | 1,258                      | 1,216                     |

(Burgers et al., 2021)

26

## Individual variation in net partial cashflow



- Multiparous cows: more variation
- Cows with high net partial cashflow / year:
  - High milk + contents and few diseases treatments
  - Regardless of VWP or calving interval



### Customising lactation length



### Extended lactation length

Limit critical transition periods per cow (herd?) per time unit
 Inseminate during a period with less reproductive problems
 No effect on udder health
 Dry off at a lower milk yield & limit labour

× Possible milk losses and body fattening of the end lactation

Can we use **cow information in early lactation** to limit **milk yield losses** and **body fattening** at the end of the lactation?





## Strategies of farmers to extend lactation



## **Customising lactation length**

#### What makes a cow suitable for an extended lactation?

We can predict:

- Milk (FPCM) end of the lactation
- Condition end of the lactation
- Milk (FPCM) total lactation



| Cow-characteristic             | P-value |
|--------------------------------|---------|
| Milk production                | < 0.01  |
| Peak milk yield                | < 0.01  |
| Protein                        | < 0.01  |
| Parity                         | < 0.01  |
| Breeding value for persistency | < 0.01  |

#### (Burgers et al., 2023)

### Cow factors to predict FPCM end lactation



↑ Milk before insemination: ↑ milk end of the lactation
 Primiparous cows: ↑ milk end of the lactation



### Cow factors to predict BCS end of the lactation



↑ BCS before insemination: ↑ BCS end of the lactation
 Multiparous cows: ↑ BCS end of the lactation



### Extended lactations or Customising lactation length

Reduce frequency of critical moments in a cow's life
 ..but (total) effects depend on cow characteristics!
 Improve cow health, welfare and fertility
 Consequences for the (unborn) calf seem to be limited
 Consequences for labour and work pleasure

**Decisions support models** could facilitate selection of cows for a cow-specific lactation length





## Current OptiLac-project



Validation of prediction models for cow performance in an extended lactation

- part of the PhD of Brigitte de Bruijn
- Development of a decision support model for the optimal insemination moment per individual cow
  - PhD Jan Aarts
- Evaluate feeding strategies for cows with an extended lactation

#### Collaboration with:

- ➤ ~40 dairy farmers
- In a Public-Private-Partnership project:













## Thank you for your attention

More information?
Project website at <u>www.adp.wur.nl</u>
Ariette.vanKnegsel@wur.nl